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The catchphrase ‘transformation’ in the United Nations Secretary-General’s Synthesis Report of the Post-2015 Developmental Framework processes, has indicated a fundamental shift in international negotiations on development following the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). In reflecting the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and other post-2015 processes feeding into it, the report illustrates the highly consultative process that has emerged from the post-2015 dialogue. A fertile ground for multilevel negotiations between civil societies across the world, their national governments; as well as popular conversation on a wide range of development-related issues including climate change has therefore been laid. This should be capitalised on to create a truly African narrative.

The consultation of key marginalised groups has brought the globalised problem of climate change onto the agenda, challenging states to address this if the post-2015 development agenda is to ensure poverty eradication. Climate change, the mass extinction of species, deforestation, desertification and many other related consequences stand to expose the global limitation of current unsustainable developmental practises and the unwillingness of political and economic leaders to address climate justice. Environmental injustice is
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a particular problem for Africa with the continent’s poor and marginalised contending with disproportionate and increasing impacts of climate change and diminishing resources. The concept ‘climate change’ provides a multilevel dynamic to engage with in the post-2015 developmental framework. As a concept, climate change due to its globalised impacts enables stakeholders to firstly reassert the claim of universality of human rights. It also takes into consideration the specificities of the citizenry’s ecological footprint on the developmental agenda. Climate change thus, challenges the human rights discourse to find a way to reconcile socioeconomic rights threatened by climate change with the developmental aspirations on a global level. On the small-scale, climate change recognises the fact that inequality within societies be it income, race, gender inter alia can be understood in terms of an individual’s ecological footprint that in turn indicates an individual’s vulnerability to the effects of climate change. The concept of climate change reignites the calls of marginalised groups including women, the poor, islanders, indigenous people and many more for a sense of global ethics to reinvigorate conversation on the link between climate change and development.

The Africa Group (AG), a coalition of African states that negotiate for the continent through the G77, has been criticised for its inability to represent a coherent African voice in global forums. The AG is an important voice in international environmental negotiations. Part of the problem for the AG is how to reconcile the interests of diverse African and engage in a coherent manner. There is also a sense of separation between delegations at the UN in New York and the capitals of respective countries ensuing from the lack of clear political directives. In addition, the small delegation size of many African states in comparison to developed states and the absence of key figures and technical support significantly reduces the African voice in multilateral platforms. However, the Africa Group has made strides in altering their global political standing through an improved climate change architecture.

The African Ministerial Conference on the Environment (AMCN) established in 1985 is one of the most notable forums in this regard. It is a permanent forum tightly connected to the African Union (AU) and New Partnership for Africa’s Development (NEPAD). This has provided policy direction for regional and local environmental matters though regular high level meetings of African ministers of the environment. Additionally, the Common Position on African Environment and Development has formed a firm African sense of expectation for international negotiation. Keeping in mind that the position does not relate to human rights, the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights in its Resolution on Climate Change and Human Rights and the Need to Study its Impacts in Africa recognises the need for climate change negotiations to include human rights. Though not without problems, climate change as an avenue for concerted African effort, is an opportunity for the continent to form a coherent voice.

The evolving climate change infrastructure created by African states is an opportunity to strengthen African voices in view of the continent’s vulnerable position in global political and economic forums. The UN Synthesis report’s call for proactive and consultative engagement is an opportunity to leverage on these advancements in African climate change infrastructure to assert an Africa agenda in the post-2015 process. This sort of agenda can unite African state parties and Africa civil society to speak with one voice. Climate change in the post-2015 dialogue is a perspective that will assist in Africa reaching sincere developmental
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goals envisioned in the Africa 2063 initiatives. Moreover, climate change is a tool to connect authentic African experiences from the ground to African negotiators in international fora through the regional infrastructure available. Ultimately, climate change and development is an opportunity for the consolidation of an African narrative.
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**The Dialogue Online**, is an online extension of SALO’s national, regional and international consensus-building dialogues (typically workshops, seminars and small meetings) on Peace and Security, Development, SA Foreign Policy, Gender (including LGBTI rights), Natural Resource Governance, Human Rights and the rights of migrant communities through *weekly written articles and/or commentary*. It is a channel through which critical issues raised during dialogue events are synthesised and shared with wider audiences. By taking the dialogue 'online', the conversation is enabled to continue beyond the limits of space and time and to a wider audience.

Since SALO’s central focus is peace and security, *Dialogue Online* articles focus primarily on this theme, but drawing attention to the nexuses with development, natural resource governance, human rights and gender, mediation, environment and climate change. Preference is towards articles that speak to international development and peace building policy and practice, raise awareness about conflict situations and the gender dimensions thereof and provoke fresh thinking and policy debate. Contributions are drawn from SALO’s pool of experts, peace building and development practitioners, activists, academics, former and current diplomats and workshop participants.

*Please note that the articles represent views of respective contributors and do not necessarily reflect SALO’s view or position.*

Interested contributors are welcome to email articles of 750 to 1000 words in length to info@salo.org.za for consideration.

Please follow us on twitter @salo_info and #DialogueOnline SALO for comments.
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